

Applicant: Marko Jorkama
Application No.: 10/532,148
Response to Office action dated Apr. 21, 2006
Response filed July 18, 2006

Remarks

Claims 13–15, 17–19, 21–25, and 28–30 remain pending in the application. Claims 11–12, 16, 26 and 27 have been canceled. In the Office action dated Apr. 21, 2006, the drawings were objected to as failing to include the reference sign (F). The specification was objected to as containing informalities, and claim 20 was objected to as redundant. Claims 11–12, 16, 26 and 27 were rejected as anticipated by *Weinert et al.*, and claims 11 and 27 were rejected as obvious over *Weinert et al.* in view of *Mustonen et al.* Claims 13–15, 17–19, 21–25 and 28–30 were indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Paragraphs [0020], [0022], and [0023] have been amended to correct the informalities identified by the examiner. Paragraph [0023] has been amended to replace F with the reference numeral 3 as referenced in the same paragraph and elsewhere in the specification, thus obviating an amendment to the drawings.


Claims 11–12, 16, 26 and 27 have been canceled and claims 13–15, 17–19, 21–25, and 28–30 have been rewritten in independent form, or depend from claims which have been rewritten in independent form.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this amendment.

Applicant submits that the claims, as amended, are in condition for allowance.

Favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick J.G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934
Attorney for Applicant
Stiennon & Stiennon
P.O. Box 1667
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667
(608) 250-4870
Amdt2.res